

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/733,640   | 12/12/2003                        | Wim Thomson          | Q78124              | 1652             |
| 23373 7590 08/21/2007<br>SUGHRUE MION, PLLC<br>·2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. |                                   |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |                                   |                      | JAMAL, ALEXANDER    |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTO   | SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |                      | . ART UNIT          | PAPER NUMBER     |
| W.1611111011011, De 20057  |                                   |                      | 2614                |                  |
|  |                                   | •                    | <u></u>             |                  |
|  | •                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                   | •                    | 08/21/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | 10/733,640   | THOMSON ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Alexander Jamal  | 2614  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet wit  | h the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC<br>36(a). In no event, however, may a re-<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT<br>, cause the application to become ABA | ATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De   | <u>ecember 2003</u> .  |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio   | n.   |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  |  | y the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyand  | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | ion is required if the drawing(s   | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached  | Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1   | 119(a)-(d) or (f)   |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  | priemy amazi de diela. S   | (4) (4)   |  |  |  |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received.  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received in Ap   | plication No  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>  | ity documents have been r  | eceived in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | , ,,   |   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | of the certified copies not re   | eceived.  |  |  |  |
| ·<br>Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Su  |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  | /Mail Date ormal Patent Application   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/733,640

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rezvani et al. (6760434).

As per claim 1, Rezvani discloses a hybrid circuit to interface line drivers 302 and 308 (Fig. 3B) with telecommunications line 324. The hybrid circuit has first and second line driver terminals (coming out of driver 302) and first and second terminals (the top of inductor 312 and the bottom of inductor 316) connected to telecommunications line 324. The terminals are electrically connected to the line via the transformer coupling between inductors 312,314,316 and inductor 322. The hybrid comprises first series impedance (point 370 through R1 and inductor 312) and second series impedance (point 376 through R1 and inductor 316). The hybrid further comprises first cross-coupled impedance R3,R4 (near point 374) and second cross coupled impedance R3,R4 (near point 380). The first and second series impedances each comprise an inductor which is frequency dependant. Additionally, examiner notes that Rezvani discloses that the 'resistors' may be made up of any combination of capacitors, resistors, and inductors (Col 7 lines 55-65)

Application/Control Number: 10/733,640

Art Unit: 2614

to achieve a certain ohm value. Any capacitors or inductors used could also be read as a frequency dependant impedance.

As per claims 2,4, the hybrid may be used in an ADSL system which comprises transmitting and receiving data to/from a telecommunications line at differing frequencies where the transmit frequencies are distinct from the receive frequencies (Col 1 lines 45-65). Since the inductors or capacitors noted in the claim 1 rejection are frequency dependant, the impedance seen by the transmitted signals will be different from that seen by the received signals.

As per claim 3, Rezvani discloses that the transmit frequencies are higher than those of the receive frequencies (Col 1 lines 45-55) as seen by the central office (transmitting to the user). Rezvani further discloses that the hybrid may be situated at either end of the network (user side or CO side) (Fig. 3a). When situated at the user side the transmit (upstream to the CO) will be at lower frequencies, which will see a lower impedance through inductors 312, and 316. This will be relatively lower than the receive (downstream from the CO) impedances.

As per claim 5, it is rejected as per the claim 1 rejection.

As per claim 6, the first and second resistors are substantially identical (R1) and the first and second impedance devices are also identical (312,316 in Fig. 3B).

As per claim 7, the two cross coupled impedances (R3,R4 in Fig. 3B) are identical.

Art Unit: 2614

As per claim 8, there is a receive terminal at each junction point for each cross coupled impedance (R3,R4 in Fig. 3B).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are **571-273-8300** for regular communications and **571-273-8300** for After Final communications.

Examiner Alexander Jamal August 19, 2007

whenhy had